

Serious Questions About the Lockdown

Introduction

For general information about the lockdown see my papers: *The Evils of the Lockdown* and *The Truth About the Lockdown*. For data on Covid-19 see my papers: *Covid-19 A summary of facts*, *Covid-19: Another pandemic panic*.

In this paper I simply want to ask a range of logical questions addressed to the government about the lockdown and social distancing. Essentially, none of the policy makes any sense and some of it has been contradictory. What it has done has:

- Lengthened the epidemic (as I claimed it would).
- Killed more people than was necessary (especially the elderly in care homes).
- Wrecked many lives.
- Made many people unemployed.
- Ruined many small businesses.
- Destroyed British culture, many aspects, of which, may not recover.¹
- And wrecked the economy.

Such unimaginable stupidity is hard to understand. The government now knows this but dare not admit it or it will collapse; so they continue with insane social distancing policies and lie about the effects of the lockdown.

Social distancing

Epidemiology

Note some facts first:

- Viruses are exceptionally small, being hundreds of times smaller than a bacterium.
- Viruses can therefore pass through layers of cotton and other fabrics easily.
- An infected person would breathe millions of virus particles into the air in his immediate environment, which would rapidly spread throughout this environment (such as a supermarket or a bank). Social distancing is therefore pointless.
- Asymptomatic people do not spread the virus to others. This had been definitely proved after a case study in Guangdong Provincial Peoples' Hospital in January. The WHO finally had to admit that asymptomatic people do not spread the virus.² Asymptomatic spread was the reason why authorities demanded a lockdown in the first place. It was all a waste of time. We knew in January that the policy was wrong. You cannot catch the virus from a person not showing any symptoms.
- That Covid-19 is not spread easily outdoors was certainly known by 2 April but many epidemiologists affirmed this long before that. It was proved by a study of 320 towns in China over five weeks titled, '*Indoor transmission of SARS-Cov-2*'. '*There is no*

¹ All comedy stopped. All musical gigs stopped. Theatres and cinemas closed.

² CNBC, 'Asymptomatic spread of coronavirus is "very rare" WHO says', 8 June 2020.

significant risk of catching the disease when you go shopping.³ Keeping people trapped indoors increased the risk of infection.

- Epidemiologists proved that you couldn't be infected with Covid-19 by touching contaminated surfaces. *'When we took samples from door handles, phones or toilets it has not been possible to cultivate the virus in the laboratory on the basis of these swabs.'*⁴ This was later admitted by the US CDC. This meant there was no point in disinfecting clothes, PPE, grocery bags, using hand sanitisers and so on. You can shake hands with safety.
- There was never any scientific evidence for the policy of social distancing. *'The measures ... really do not have an evidence base. The two-metre rule was conjured up out of nowhere.'*⁵

Why was the two-metre distancing rule established? It is completely ineffective in stopping virus infections.

Who established the two-metre rule?

Where is the science to support a two-metre social distancing regulation?

Why was this then reduced to one metre-plus if lives previously depended upon two metres? How can a difference of one metre safeguard against a virus infection?

Since viruses spread rapidly throughout the air in any environment, why would any person think that two metres or twenty metres will protect them from what is present everywhere?

Schools

Social isolation is a torture tactic, confirmed as such by the CIA. Prisoners of war (such as John McCain) have testified that social distancing was much worse a torture than brutal physical pain in Vietnamese prison camps. Psychologists have averred that social distancing will cause permanent psychological damage to children. Why did the government, therefore, close schools since the epidemic was not as bad as a severe flu season and children are hardly affected by it?

Why are schools still closed when it is now fully understood that Covid-19 is less of a threat to children than influenza?

When schools fully open in September, why are they being told to enforce social distancing actions, which are impossible to achieve if all children return to school?

Contradictory policies

Lack of social distancing countenanced

Why are Black Lives Matter protestors allowed to gather in large crowds shoulder-to-shoulder and the police take no action?

Why were police officers allowed to ignore social distancing throughout the lockdown?

Why did police officers break social distancing rules to arrest innocent people on the grounds that they were breaking social distancing rules?

³ Professor Hendrick Streek, University of Bonn..

⁴ Ibid.

⁵ Prof. Robert Dingwall, Daily Mail, 30 May 2020.

Why were people allowed to break social distancing rules on the London Underground throughout the lockdown?

Why were people allowed to fly into and out of Heathrow airport throughout the lockdown?

Why were people from Covid-19 hotspots allowed to enter the country without any quarantine throughout the lockdown?

How can it be safe for people to breathe the same air in sealed aeroplane cabins?

Why did the government arrange chartered planes to bring over low-skilled workers from Romania and other nations to pick fruit and veg.? The only health checks were taking temperatures and filling in a form!

Enforced social distancing

Why did the police warn a café owner and threaten him for having one or two isolated old people drinking tea in his café?

Why did police officers illegally break into private dwellings on mere suspicion that someone was breaking social distancing rules (when they weren't)?

Why did police threaten isolated people walking their dogs in the countryside?

Why did police threaten isolated people on beaches?

Why did police close certain beaches when fresh air boosts the immune system and defeats Covid-19?

Why was Northampton police chief constable Nick Adderley allowed to claim that the police would set up roadblocks and check what people purchase in supermarkets if the public did not follow social distancing?

Why did police dye a blue lagoon black to deter tourists?

Why did police fine a UK shopper for buying wine and snacks claiming that these were not essential items?

Why was a nurse in uniform in West Lothian fined £50 after leaving a patient's house.

Why did police cordon off park benches and deter people from sitting in the sun?

Why were London residents fined for taking dogs for a walk, which was acceptable under the Coronavirus Act?

Will the government take disciplinary action against multiple Stasi-like police for overreaching their powers?

Why is singing in pubs, theatres and churches forbidden but people screaming and chanting at the top of their voices in BLM protests is acceptable?

Now pubs have opened in part, why are people not allowed to sit at the bar (even if social distancing)?

Why did all media organisations continue with multiple staff in rooms (cameramen, technicians, sound operators etc.) with presenters and guests (even at two metres apart) but churches were not allowed to follow the same rules?

Why were supermarkets, banks and other places allowed to operate (albeit with some social distancing) but pubs and churches were not? What made pubs and churches more dangerous?

Most churches could have halved their congregations and doubled their meetings to allow for social distancing but this was not allowed. Why?

Very many small churches could have enforced social distancing and still met as normal. Why was this not allowed?

Why were comedy clubs not allowed to continue if they complied with social distancing?

Why were theatres not allowed to continue if they complied with social distancing?

Why were musical events not allowed to continue if they complied with social distancing?

Was the government's policy really aimed at destroying cultural norms because it certainly seems that way?

Masks etc.

This study is the first RCT of cloth masks, and the results caution against the use of cloth masks. This is an important finding to inform occupational health and safety. Moisture retention, reuse of cloth masks and poor filtration may result in increased risk of infection.⁶

If you do not have an respiratory symptoms such as fever, cough or runny nose, you do not need to wear a mask'.⁷

Why are masks necessary on public transport when viruses pass straight through them? Furthermore, in sealed cabins an infected person would fill the atmosphere with virus particles.

Why are visors necessary for certain people when viruses pass right over them into all openings (mouth, nose, ears, and eyes).

Why are Perspex barriers necessary in pubs, shops etc. if viruses can simply pass right over them in the air?

Why are hospital staff forced to wear masks all day long when it is known that reducing oxygen, increasing secretions and increasing CO₂ suppresses the immune system and leads to sickness?

Why are hospital staff forced to change full-body plastic aprons for every patient when this has no effect whatsoever to stop the spread of Covid-19 infection?

Why are masks now requested in order to enter shops? Banks and supermarkets have been operating for months without staff wearing masks and there was no mass death. People are being encouraged by the government to eat at restaurants, go to the pub and eat at cafes

⁶ BMJ, 'A cluster randomised trial of cloth masks compared with medical masks in healthcare workers', (2015).

⁷ Dr April Butler, WHO video (Match 2020).

where masks will be impossible. Why are restaurants safe without a mask (when you are present for a considerable time) but entering a shop (where you are present briefly) is dangerous enough to require a mask? Is the government insane, needlessly repressive or just stupid?

Track and trace

Why are pubs being told to keep a record of everyone entering their premises? How can that possibly aid defeating a virus?

Is it not contravening the Data Protection Act to keep a record of customers contact details and pass them on to a third party (even if that party is the government)?

Is it not contravening Human Rights Acts to hinder association, demand contact details, or restrict access to services?

If groups and couples enter a pub, why are only the contact details of the person making payment taken down?

What will be done with the personal data collected? What possible use is it?

Pubs and restaurants that have been virtually ruined by the lockdown do not need the extra burden of additional work and wasted time. Why is this being forced upon them?

Enforced lockdown

The policy decision

The only effective cure to a virus attack is the body's immune system. What is needed is to boost the immune system, but people generally have a weak immune system through stress, lack of sunshine, poor diets, and toxicity. Why was a lockdown instituted when what was really needed was for everyone to go out into the sunshine and fresh air? If necessary this could have been done with social distancing. A lockdown was the very worst policy to undertake. Coronaviruses die in sunshine.

Why did the government claim to be following the best scientific advice when this was patently untrue? The government followed the advice of a small coterie of questionable people and ignored vast swathes of eminent virologists, immunologists and epidemiologists saying the opposite. It also ignored factual data that had arisen from China, Taiwan, Singapore and Italy (e.g. that young healthy people are hardly affected, thus schools could stay open or that asymptomatic people do not spread the virus to others.) but trusted in suppositions and abstract technical models. True science is investigating all the possibilities, engaging with all professional people and testing theories, not ignoring most of the academics and alternative views. The facts that have now emerged show that Britain's advisors were completely wrong, the lockdown was not necessary, old people died unnecessarily, hospitals were empty and the economy was crashed for no good reason. Sweden is the proof of this. Britain has had the worst effects of almost any nation in the world due to wrong decisions and worse is to come from cancelled hospital treatments.

Why was Neil Ferguson's completely debunked model (now proved to be utterly useless) followed without any consideration of alternative epidemiological voices? Why did the

government listen to him when all his previous predictions on epidemics have been 100% wrong over and over again?

Why did the government quarantine healthy people.? This has never been done in history; only sick people have been quarantined. What was the reasoning in this? It makes healthy people prone to sickness.

Why was the lockdown introduced after the decision by Public Health England and the ACDP⁸ on 19 March that reduced Covid-19 from being a High Consequence Infectious Disease to one with low mortality?

Why was the lockdown introduced when the data, thus far globally, showed a fatality rate of less than 1%? [Stanford University researchers estimated the IFR as between 0.12% and 0.2% on 17 April. A meta analysis of multiple studies (April to early May) done by John Ioannidis showed a rate of 0.06 to 0.16. Epidemiologists had been saying this since early March or even earlier.]

Why was the lockdown introduced when the peak of the UK virus outbreak was around 10 March? The lockdown lengthened the peak period when no lockdown would have seen a gradual decline as herd immunity was achieved.

Why was the lockdown introduced when much worse diseases have not required a lockdown (e.g. malaria, TB, hepatitis B)?

Why was Covid-19 treated by a lockdown when it has proved to be less severe than a bad flu epidemic?

Why was the word 'pandemic' constantly used when Covid-19 was nothing like a pandemic?

Why has the government not engaged with the fact that countries which had no lockdown (Sweden, Iceland, Belarus) or a very minimal isolation policy (Taiwan, Singapore, Japan) reacted to the epidemic far better than countries which had a full lockdown?

As many world class epidemiologists predicted, the lockdown actually made the epidemic worse, lengthened its duration and killed vulnerable old people in care homes. Why did the government not listen to these experts?

Specific legal questions

Is the government not liable to litigation for bankrupting businesses through forcing them to close down?

Was legal advice sought regarding possible litigation following a demand for lockdown?

Why were not proper detailed measures for lockdown included in the Coronavirus Act?

Was the lockdown legal?

Was the lockdown not a contravention of Common Law and the Bill of Rights regarding the right to associate, the right to worship, the right to roam, and the right to free speech?

⁸ The Advisory Committee on Dangerous Pathogens.

Since the lockdown will certainly, eventually, be seen to have resulted in more deaths than the virus,⁹ is the government not culpable of mass murder? For example, doctors warned that cutbacks to cancer treatment (in favour of treating Covid-19 patients) could cause 60,000 premature deaths.

Why did the government ignore the specific warning by scientific advisors that the lockdown could kill 150,000 people, given before the lockdown?

Since the government's policies regarding lack of care for care homes resulted in unnecessary deaths, is the government not culpable of murder?

Since sending sick people from hospitals into care homes certainly killed many people unnecessarily, is the government not culpable of murder?

What scientific data is behind the 34 pages of regulations for pubs to open after the lockdown? Is there a statutory law to back these restrictive demands?

Repercussions

Why did the government not take effective measures to mitigate against the negative effects of the lockdown on the vulnerable? For example, demand for food banks dramatically increased because many people could not get food.

Why did the government's vulnerable special needs priority list not include many people with priority special needs (such as kidney disease patients, heart disease patients, stroke victims, blind people, diabetics)?

Why did the government not ensure that care home managers (who were not on any priority list) could get food deliveries for their elderly residents? Many care home managers could not get food deliveries and struggled to feed their residents.

Why did the government not demand that banks keep a full service going, even if it meant hiring extra premises for telephone operators?

Testing

Why is testing being undertaken when no test works? Tests can only identify a general coronavirus, such as a cold virus but not specific Covid-19.

No one has yet separated and identified a Covid-19 antibody. Without this no identification of a previous infection is possible. Why are antibody tests continuing?

Why are claims made about Covid-19 infected numbers when there is no test available to prove these claims? Covid-19 infection stats are merely guesswork.

Where is the scientific evidence that Covid-19 actually exists? Many scientists have claimed that it is impossible to provide such evidence. SARS-Cov-2 seems to merely trigger underlying diseases.

Where is the evidence that Covid-19 has actually killed anyone? Many scientists are denying this. Deaths have been due to co-morbidities and a reaction to an earlier Flu

⁹ By increased suicides, missed hospital treatments, missed medical diagnoses (such as cancer), untreated sepsis, untreated heart disease, stroke, depression, anxiety, stress, child abuse, spouse abuse, and so on.

vaccine which passed on gamma retro-viruses, and also wrong hospital treatment. This has been alleged by the eminent Dr Judy Mikovits and even by the US Army.

Medical response

Why have doctors and nurses that dispute the government narrative and opposed the lockdown (the vast majority) been issued a gag order and threatened with dismissal?

Why have doctors and nurses complaining that stopping normal treatments will result in mass deaths and injury also been told to shut up or face sanctions?

Hydroxychloroquine (especially with zinc) has proved to be effective in practice against Covid-19 wherever it was used (Wuhan, Florida etc.). Why was it forbidden in the NHS?

Intravenous vitamin C and D have proved to be helpful in combating Covid-19. Why are these not used by the NHS?

Bicarbonates (especially sodium bicarbonate) have proved an effective initial treatment of Covid-19. Why are these not widely prescribed?

Inhaling a steroid called budesonide¹⁰ has proved to be an effective, rapid treatment against Covid-19. Why is this not used in the NHS? It has been proved effective in America, Taiwan, Singapore and Japan.

Ventilators are dangerous and often cause infections like pneumonia and death from lung collapse. They should be a means of last resort only. Why were these often used as a first resort, resulting in fatalities?

Ventilators are the wrong treatment and this was known since March. Covid-19 symptoms include oxygen deprivation but patient's lungs and muscles work fine. What is needed is oxygen and other treatments that aid oxygen intake and boost the immune system. Why were ventilators even used at all when they directly resulted in deaths by over-pressurising the lungs?

When it was clear that there was no overwhelming of the NHS but hospitals were mostly empty, why were not normal medical treatments resumed?

Why were Nightingale hospital tents erected at great cost before there was any need for them? In the end most of them were never used and none were necessary.¹¹

It is posited that many people will have died from a failure to get normal medical treatment that was cancelled for months. Is the government not responsible for these unnecessary deaths? Will litigation against the government be allowed?

Why have the death by Covid-19 figures been inflated? It is a fact that many fatalities were due to other co-morbidities but doctors were urged to attribute the cause to Covid-19. [For example, one old woman with multiple co-morbidities died in an ambulance. Without making any diagnosis or autopsy the death report said death by Covid-19.] Patients that

¹⁰ A treatment commonly used for asthma patients.

¹¹ The Telegraph, 'Just 19 patients treated ...', 14 April 2020. The Telegraph, 'Only one Nightingale hospital in use as London centre is mothballed', 4 May 2020.

were tested multiple times were recorded as multiple cases of Covid-19 to boost figures. Why was this fraud allowed?

Why did the government allow the media to create mass fear and panic about Covid-19 when this causes stress, which damages the immune system and contributes to sickness?

Why were many elderly people told that they would not be accepted in a hospital and would not get any medical treatment because hospitals were concentrating on Covid-19 (in fact most hospitals were mostly empty, staff were sent home and bored nurses started filming dance routines for Tik Tok)?

Why were many old people pressurised into signing 'Do not resuscitate' forms?

Why did Boris Johnson call deaths from hospital-acquired Covid-19 '*an epidemic*';¹² and yet still allow hospitals to transfer sick patients into care homes where multiple people then died? [A meta analysis of 40 studies found that the proportion of nosocomial (originating in a hospital) infections were 44% for Covid-19.]

Why were patients lacking oxygen given morphine? In many cases the morphine killed them outright.

Vaccines

After 17 years, it has proved impossible to find a vaccine for SARS-Cov-1, why does anyone think it will be possible to very quickly find a safe vaccine for SARS-Cov-2?

SARS-Cov-2 keeps mutating very quickly (and getting less serious). This means that it will be impossible to make a vaccine for it. Why is the vaccine agenda being pushed?

What is a vaccine being pursued as a killer-bullet when there are multiple, safe, existing, cheap treatments that cure Covid-19?

Why has the government spent millions¹³ investing in a pointless SARS-Cov-2 vaccine?

Why would anyone trust a Covid-19 vaccine when the cause of bad Covid-19 symptoms was a previous flu vaccine? All vaccines contain toxic particles including: mercury, carcinogens, aborted foetus cells, formaldehyde and more.

Misc

Since it is now certain that Covid-19 cannot infect people from touching surfaces, why has paper and coin money been abolished?

A public enquiry

Will the government allow a public enquiry to investigate how and why the lockdown was introduced?

Will details of the government's strategy be published and will it explain why many eminent epidemiologists opposed to a lockdown were ignored?

¹² NHS briefing, reported in The Guardian, 17 May 2020.

¹³ E.g. funding GAVI.

Will bankrupted businesses be allowed to sue the government?

Will the scientific advisors that dominated government strategy and unnecessarily ruined the economy be held to account?

Will Neil Ferguson face prosecution for causing an unnecessary public health crisis?

Conclusion

There can be no doubt that these questions highlight the fact that government policy has nothing to do with alleviating the effect of a virus epidemic but have everything to do with establishing draconian control of the population for suspicious ends.

These measures are, in essence, totalitarianism draped in pretentious medical necessity. They are against Common Law, contrary to human freedom and could enable a potential future fascist state. The government must repudiate them and face public scrutiny.

Paul Fahy Copyright © 2020
Understanding Ministries
<http://www.understanding-ministries.com>